arXiv:1105.2064v1 [math.SP] 10 May 2011

Remark on spectral rigidity for magnetic Schrödinger operators.

Gregory Eskin and James Ralston

Abstract. We give a simple proof of Guillemin's theorem on the determination of the magnetic field on the torus by the spectrum of the corresponding Schrödinger operator.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). Primary 35P99, Secondary 35R30.

Keywords. Inverse spectral problems, wave trace.

1. Introduction

This note is on inverse spectral theory for the Schrödinger operator on a flat two-dimensional torus with electric and magnetic potentials. This problem can be remarkably rigid. For generic flat tori, if the variation of the magnetic field is strictly less than its mean, and the total magnetic flux on the torus is $\pm 2\pi$, then the spectrum of the Schrödinger operator determines both the electric and magnetic fields. This is in marked contrast to both the Schrödinger operator without a magnetic field (see [3]) and the case of a magnetic field of mean zero (see [1]). In both those problems there are large families of isospectral fields, and rigidity results are much more difficult to obtain (see also [2]). The observation that there can be spectral rigidity when the total flux is $\pm 2\pi$ is due to Guillemin ([5]). Here we give a short proof of the slightly stronger result stated above. Instead of thinking of the Hamiltonian as acting on functions with values in a line bundle over the torus $\mathbb{R}^2/L$, we think of the Hamiltonian as acting on functions on $\mathbb{R}^2$ which are invariant with respect to the "magnetic translations" associated to L. However, these two settings are completely equivalent. Our assumption that the variation of the magnetic field $B(x)$ is strictly less than its mean b_0 takes the simple form $|B(x) - b_0| < |b_0|$ for all x.

The spectrum of the Laplacian plus lower order perturbations on flat tori has the feature that there are large families of spectral invariants corresponding to sets of geodesics with a fixed length. In analogy with results on S^2 Guillemin proposed the name “band invariants” for these families. The nice feature of the problem discussed here is that only the simplest of the band invariants are needed to prove rigidity.

The first complete solution of an inverse spectral problem was Mark Krein’s definitive analysis of the “weighted string”, [9], [10]. Since that time many other inverse spectral problems in one space dimension have been solved (see [11]). In higher dimensions it is widely believed that, modulo natural symmetries and deformations like gauge transformation, most problems will be spectrally rigid. However, so far there have been relatively few settings where this has been proven (for instance those in [6] and [14]) and many interesting examples where it fails (see [12] and [4]). This should remain an active field of research for many years to come, and one can reasonably say that it began with the work of Mark Grigor’evich Krein.

2. Proof of Guillemin’s Theorem

We begin with the smooth magnetic field B, periodic with respect to the lattice L in two dimensions, expanded in a Fourier series in terms of the dual lattice L^*

$$B(x) = \sum_{\beta \in L^*} b_\beta e^{2\pi i \beta \cdot x}.$$

For this magnetic field we introduce the magnetic potential $A = (A_1, A_2)$ with $\partial_{x_2} A_1 - \partial_{x_1} A_2 = B$, chosen to be as periodic as possible, i.e.

$$A = A^0 + A^1 = \frac{b_0}{2}(x_2, -x_1) + \sum_{\beta \in L^* \setminus 0} b_\beta e^{2\pi i \beta \cdot x}(\beta_2, -\beta_1) 2\pi i(\beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2).$$

We also have a mean zero periodic electric field which is the gradient of the mean zero periodic potential

$$V(x) = \sum_{\beta \in L^* \setminus 0} v_\beta e^{2\pi i \beta \cdot x}.$$

The quantum Hamiltonian for an electron in these fields (with all physical constants set to 1) is

$$H = (i\partial_x + A)^2 + V.$$

Let D be a fundamental domain for L. To define the domain of H as an operator in $L^2(D)$ we will use “magnetic translation operators” (see [13]). Letting $\{e_1, e_2\}$ and $\{e_1^*, e_2^*\}$ be a basis for L and the corresponding dual basis for L^*, define for linearly independent vectors v_1 and v_2

$$T_j u(x) = e^{iv_j \cdot x} u(x + e_j), \qquad j = 1, 2.$$

Then the commutator $[T_1, T_2]$ is given by

$$[T_1, T_2]u(x) = (e^{iv_2 \cdot e_1} - e^{iv_1 \cdot e_2}) e^{i(v_1 + v_2) \cdot x} u(x + e_1 + e_2),$$

and the periodicity of A^1 and V implies that the commutator $[H, T_j]$ is given by

$$[H, T_j]u(x) = e^{iv_j \cdot x}((i\partial_x + A(x) + A^0(e_j))^2 - (i\partial_x + A(x) - v_j)^2)u(x + e_j).$$

Thus, in order for the T_j's to commute with H we require $v_j = -A^0(e_j)$, and in order for the T_j's to commute with each other we require $A^0(e_1)\cdot e_2 = -A^0(e_2)\cdot e_1 = \pi l$ for some integer l. Note that this implies $A^0(e_1) = \pi l e_2^*$ and $A^0(e_2) = -\pi l e_1^*$ and $2\pi|l| = |b_0|\text{Area }(D)$, and $b_0\text{Area }(D) = \int_D B(x)dx$ is the total magnetic flux. Hence the assumption $b_0 \neq 0$ is equivalent to nonzero flux, and it implies $l \neq 0$. Defining the domain of H to be the subspace of $H^2(R^2)$ such that $T_j u = u,\ j = 1, 2$, we make H a self-adjoint operator in $L^2(D)$.

As in many previous works we will look for spectral invariants for H by studying the wave trace. Letting $E(x, y, t)$ be the distribution kernel for the fundamental solution for the initial value problem

$$u_{tt} + Hu = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2_x \times \mathbb{R}_t, u(x, 0) = f(x),\ u_t(x, 0) = 0,$$

the distribution kernel for the corresponding initial value problem in $D \times \mathbb{R}_t$ is

$$E_D(x, y, t) = \sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} T_1^m T_2^n E(x, y, t), \tag{2.1}$$

where the operators T_j act on the x variable. Note that, since the principal part of $\partial_t^2 + H$ is $\partial_t^2 - \Delta$, $E(x, y, t) = 0$ when $|x - y|^2 > t^2$ and the sum in (2.1) is has only a finite number of nonzero terms for t in a bounded interval. Thus $[T_1, T_2] = 0$ implies $T_j E_D(x, y, t) = E_D(x, y, t),\ j = 1, 2$. The fundamental spectral invariant for this problem is the distribution trace of the operator $E_D(t)$ corresponding to the kernel $E_D(x, y, t)$. Conventionally (with all terms to be interpreted in distribution sense) this is written

$$Tr(t) = \int_D E_D(x, x, t)dx.$$

To avoid degeneracies in the contributions to $Tr(t)$ from the terms in (1), we assume that vectors in L have distinct lengths, i.e.

$$d, d' \in L \text{ and } |d| = |d'| \text{ implies } d = \pm d'.$$

Since $E(x, y, t)$ is singular as a distribution in (x, y) only when $|x - y|^2 = t^2$, it now follows that the singularity of $Tr(t)$ at $t = |me_1 + ne_2|$ comes from just two terms

$$\int_D [T_1^m T_2^n E(x, x, t) + T_1^{-m} T_2^{-n} E(x, x, t)]dx. \tag{2.2}$$

To determine the spectral invariants coming from the leading terms in the expansion of this singularity it is convenient to use the Hadamard-Hörmander expansion [7], [8] for $E(x, y, t)$. Beginning with the forward fundamental solution, E_+, defined by $(\partial_t^2 + H)E_+ = \delta(t)\delta(x - y)$ and $E_+ = 0$ for $t < 0$ one has

$$E_+(t, x, y) \sim \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} a_\nu(x, y)e_\nu(t, |x - y|) \tag{2.3}$$

where e_ν is chosen so that $(\partial_t^2-\Delta)e_\nu=\nu e_{\nu-1}$ for $\nu>0$ and $e_0(t,|x-y|)$ is the forward fundamental solution for $\partial_t^2-\Delta$. In two space dimensions this means

$$e_\nu(t,|x-y|)=2^{-2\nu-1}\pi^{-1/2}\mathcal{X}_+^{\nu-1/2}(t^2-|x-y|^2)$$

for $t>0$, $e_\nu=0$ for $t<0$. For $a>-1$ the distribution $\mathcal{X}_+^a$ is defined by $\mathcal{X}_+^a(s)=(\Gamma(a+1))^{-1}s^a$ for $s>0$ and $\mathcal{X}_+^a(s)=0$ for $s<0$. Hence the coefficients a_ν are determined by the recursion

$$\nu a_n u+(x-y)\cdot\partial_x a_\nu-iA(x)\cdot(x-y)a_\nu+Ha_{\nu-1}=0,$$

where H acts in the variable x. Solving this with the requirement that $a_0(y,y)=1$, we have

$$a_0(x,y)=\exp(i\int_0^1(x-y)\cdot A(y+s(x-y))ds)\text{ and}\tag{2.4}$$

$$a_1(x,y)=-a_0(x,y)(\int_0^1 V(y+s(x-y))ds+b(x,y),\tag{2.5}$$

where $b(x,y)$ is determined by $A(x)$. The fundamental solution $E(x,y,t)$ is given by

$$E(x,y,t)=\partial_t(E_+(t,x,y)-E_+(-t,x,y)).\tag{2.6}$$

We define

$$I(d)=\int_D e^{-iA^0(d)\cdot x+i\int_0^1 d\cdot A(x+sd)ds}dx=\int_D e^{i(2A^0(x)\cdot d-\int_0^1 d\cdot A^1(x+sd)ds)}dx,$$

and

$$J(d)=\int_D[\int_0^1(V(x+sd)+b(x+sd,x))ds]e^{i(2A^0(x)\cdot d-\int_0^1 d\cdot A^1(x+sd)ds)}dx.$$

From (2.2)-(2.6) one sees that $I(d)+I(-d)$ and $J(d)+J(-d)$ are spectral invariants for H. However, the periodicity implies that $I(d)=I(-d)$ and $J(d)=J(-d)$.

The rest of this article is devoted to studying $I(d)$ and $J(d)$. We have $d=me_1+ne_2=k(m_0e_1+n_0e_2)$, $k\in\mathbb{N}$ and gcd(m_0,n_0)=1. Let $\delta=-n_0e_1^*+m_0e_2^*$. Then we have

$$A^0(d)=\frac{b_0}{2}(d_2,-d_1)=\pi kl\delta.$$

Since $\int_0^1 e^{2\pi is\beta\cdot d}ds=0$ when $\beta\cdot d\neq 0$, the terms in the Fourier series for A^1 which contribute to $I(d)$ have $\beta\cdot d=0$, and this implies

$$\beta=p\delta=\frac{pb_0}{2\pi kl}(d_2,-d_1),\ p\in\mathbb{Z}\backslash 0.$$

Hence, $d\cdot(\beta_2,-\beta_1)(2\pi i(\beta_1^2+\beta_2^2))^{-1}=ikl(pb_0)^{-1}$, and $I(d)$ reduces to

$$\int_D\exp(2\pi ikl(-\delta\cdot x+\sum_{p\in\mathbb{Z}\backslash 0}\frac{ib_{p\delta}}{2\pi pb_0}e^{2\pi ip\delta\cdot x}))dx.$$

Defining

$$B_\delta(s) = \sum_{p\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus 0} b_{p\delta} e^{2\pi ips} \text{ and } A^1_\delta(s) = \sum_{p\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus 0} \frac{b_{p\delta}}{2\pi ip} e^{2\pi ips}$$

(note that $\frac{d}{ds}A^1_\delta(s) = B_\delta(s)$), we have

$$I(d) = \int_D \exp(-i2\pi kl(\delta\cdot x + \frac{1}{b_0}A^1_\delta(\delta\cdot x))dx.$$

Extending δ to a basis for L^*, $\{\delta,\delta'\}$, and letting $\{\gamma,\gamma'\}$ be the dual basis for L, we make the change of variables $x = s\gamma + u\gamma'$, and choose

$$D = \{s\gamma + u\gamma' : 0 \le s, u \le 1\}.$$

Then we have

$$I(d) = c(d)\int_0^1 \exp(-2\pi ikl(s + \frac{1}{b_0}A^1_\delta(s))ds,$$

where $c(d)$ is the Jacobian factor, and only depends on d. Since we have this spectral invariant for all $k \neq 0$, it follows that

$$\int_0^1 f(s + \frac{1}{b_0}A^1_\delta(s))ds \tag{2.7}$$

is a spectral invariant for any function f which can be expanded in terms of $\{e^{-2\pi ikly}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$, i.e. for any $f \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ which has period $1/l$.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that* $l = 1$ *and* $|b_0| > \max|B(x) - b_0|$. *Then the spectrum of* H *determines* B.

Remark 2.2. Since b_0 is the average of $B(x)$ on a fundamental domain, the hypothesis here is a constraint on how much B varies instead of constraint on the size of B.

Remark 2.3. Since we assume $1 = l = A^0(e_1)\cdot e_2/\pi = |b_0|\text{Area}(D)/2\pi$ for a fundamental domain D, this assumption fixes $|b_0|$ when L is fixed.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Since $B_\delta(x) = \int_0^1(B(x + sd) - b_0)ds$, the hypotheses imply that the derivative of $s + A^1_\delta(s)/b_0$ is strictly positive and the inverse function $s(y)$ to $y = s + A^1_\delta(s)/b_0$ is defined on the range of $s + A^1_\delta(s)/b_0$ for $s \in [0,1]$. Since A^1_δ has period 1, the range is $I = [A^1_\delta(0)/b_0, A^1_\delta(0)/b_0 + 1]$. Letting f in (2.7) tend to the δ-function at y, the limit of (2.7) is $s'(y)$ if $y = y(s)$ for $s \in [0,1]$. If $y \neq y(s)$ for $s \in [0,1]$ then the limit of (2.7) is $s'(y^*)$, where $y^* \in I$, and $y^* = y \bmod 1$. In other words taking these limits we recover a function of period 1 in y which agrees with $s'(y)$ on I. Thus we recover $A^1_\delta(s)$ modulo an additive constant, and we obtain $B_\delta(s)$ by taking the derivative. Since we can carry out this argument for all prime elements $\delta \in L^*$, we recover the full Fourier expansion of B. □

We now turn to the recovery of V. The preceding analysis shows that, keeping the same $d \in L$ as above, the spectral invariant $J(d)$, modulo terms determined by $A(x)$, reduces to

$$\tilde{J}(d) = c(d) \int_0^1 V_\delta(s) e^{-2\pi i k(s + A_\delta(s))} ds, \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$V_\delta(s) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus 0} v_{p\delta} e^{2\pi i p s}.$$

This immediately gives the following:

Theorem 2.4. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 the spectrum of H determines V.*

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Since we are assuming the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1, we have the function $s(y)$ and can make the substitution $s = s(y)$ in (2.8). That gives

$$\tilde{J}(d) = c(d) \int_{A_\delta^1(0)}^{A_\delta^1(0)+1} V_\delta(s(y) e^{-2\pi i k y} s'(y) dy,$$

but, since $y(s+1) = y(s)$, we can extend $s(y)$ smoothly to the whole line by defining $s(y+1) = s(y) + 1$. Thus, since $V_\delta(s)$ has period 1 in s, we have

$$\tilde{J}(d) = c(d) \int_0^1 V_\delta(s(y)) s'(y) e^{-2\pi i k y} dy.$$

Since we have this spectral invariant for $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus 0$, we recover the Fourier series of $V_\delta(s(y))s'(y)$, and, hence, since $s(y)$ is determined by $A_\delta^1(s)$, we have $V_\delta(s)$. As before, since we can carry out this argument for all prime elements $\delta \in L^*$, we recover the full Fourier expansion of V. □

Remark 2.5. If $l = p/q,\ p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, for the lattice L, then $l = 1$ for the lattice L_0 generated by $c_1 e_1 + c_2 e_2$ and $d_1 e_1 + d_2$ when $p(c_1 d_2 - c_2 d_1) = q$. So if $B(x)$ and $V(x)$ are periodic with respect to L_0, Theorems 1 and 2 apply in the sense that the spectrum of H on the torus $\mathbb{R}^2/L_0$ determines $B(x)$ and $V(x)$. Note that $B(x)$ and $V(x)$ will automatically be periodic with respect to L_0 when $l = 1/q$.

References

[1] G.Eskin, Inverse spectral problem for the Schrödinger equation with periodic vector potential, Comm. Math. Phys. **125** (1989), 263-300

[2] G.Eskin, J.Ralston, Inverse spectral problems in rectangular domains, Commun. PDE **32**(2007), 971-1000.

[3] G.Eskin, J.Ralston, E.Trubowitz, On isospectral periodic potentials in $\mathbb{R}^n$, I and II, Commun. Pure and Appl. Math. **37**(1984), 647-676,715-753.

[4] C.Gordon, Survey of isospectral manifolds, *Handbook of Differential Geometry,*Volume 1 (2000), North Holland, 747-778.

[5] V.Guillemin, Inverse spectral results on two-dimensional tori, Journal of the AMS, **3**(1990),375-387.

[6] V.Guillemin, D.Kazdhan, Some inverse spectral results for negatively curved 2-manifolds, Topology **19**(1980), 301-312.

[7] J.Hadamard, *Le Problème de Cauchy et les Equations aux Dérivées Partielles Linéaires Hyperboliques,* Hermann, Paris (1932)

[8] L.Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators, III,* Springer-Verlag, Vienna (1985)

[9] M.Krein, Solution of the inverse Sturm-Liouville problem (Russian), Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.)**76**(1951), 21-24.

[10] M.Krein, Determination of the density of a nonhomogeneous cord by its frequency spectrum.Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.)**76**(1951), 345-348.

[11] V.A. Marchenko, *Operatory Shturma Liuvilliâ i ikh prilozhen'iâ*, Naukova Dumka, Kiev (1977)

[12] T.Sunada, Riemannian coverings and isospectral manifolds, Ann. of Math. **121**(1985), 169-186.

[13] J.Zak, Dynamics of electrons in solids in external fields, Phys. Rev. **168**(1968), 686-695

[14] S.Zelditch, Spectral determination of analytic, bi-axisymmetric plane domains, Geometric Funct. Anal. **10**(2000), 628-677.

Gregory Eskin
Department of Mathematics, UCLA,
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555, USA.
e-mail: `eskin@math.ucla.edu`

James Ralston
Department of Mathematics, UCLA,
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555, USA.
e-mail: `ralston@math.ucla.edu`